

259

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2259 of 2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2019

Darshana Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

P.K.Das and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 21.01.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 1524 of 2019. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 10.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to her within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.”

The writ petition was disposed of directing the respondents to pass a speaking order on the representation dated 10.12.2018. It was further ordered that in case, the petitioner was found entitled to any monetary benefit, the same shall be released to her within a period of three months.

Learned counsel for the respondent has produced the copy of order dated 09.08.2019 and same is taken on record. A copy of the same is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the order has been passed but monetary benefits have not been released.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that needful shall be done within a period of three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made in Court today, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of. However, it is clarified that in case, the statement made in the Court today is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

Rule issued against respondents is discharged.

02.09.2019

hemlata

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No