

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26245 of 2019
Date of Decision: 29.10.2019

Surender Kumar SinglaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and Anr ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No.422 dated 22.07.2018 under
Sections 120-B, 379, 420, 427, 447, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian
Penal Code, registered at Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

On 24.06.2019, while issuing notice of motion the
following order was passed by this Court:

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.422 dated 22.07.2018 under Sections 120-
B/379/420/427/447/467/468/471IPC registered at P.S
Safidon, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
matter has been compromised between the parties. The
petitioner has also filed a petition bearing CRM-M-
24955 of 2019 for quashing of the FIR wherein notice of
motion has been issued and the parties have been
directed to get their statements recorded.

Notice of motion for 29.10.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be
released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of
the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the
conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The

petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.

To be heard along with CRM-M-24955 of 2019.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in terms of the above order, petitioner has already joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bal Kishan has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 24.06.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

October 29, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no