

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2266 of 2019
Date of decision: 26.8.2019

M/s Chahal Bus Service Regd. Kapurthala

.. Petitioner

v.

Shri Dilraj Singh and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.3.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 24797 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be satisfied if its representation (Annexure P-9) is considered by the concerned authority.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that in case it is found that the representation is maintainable, same shall be considered by the appropriate authority within one month.

In view of above, no further direction is necessary. Petition is disposed of.”

Short reply dated 16.8.2019 by way of an affidavit of

Dr. Nayan Jassal, PCS, presently posted as Secretary, RTA, Jalandhar

annexing order dated 30.7.2019 filed in Court today is taken on record.

Copy thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that directions of this Court have been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the reply filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, liberty is sought to avail remedies available in accordance with law against the order dated 30.7.2019.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

26.8.2019

mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No