

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26144 of 2019

Date of Decision: 18.07.2019

Kala Ram

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is facing trial for offences punishable under Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Sections 377 and 505 of Indian Penal Code for committing sodomy with son of complainant, aged 11 years.

During trial statement of victim has been recorded wherein he has supported the case of prosecution. Statements of other witnesses have also been recorded.

Learned State counsel submits that 10 out of 14 witnesses have been examined and only formal witnesses remain to be examined

Keeping in view above facts and gravity of offence, I find no reason to extend the benefit of regular bail to petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

However, trial Court is directed to expedite disposal of the trial.

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

July 18, 2019

jk

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No