

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CRM-M-9331-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.07.2019

Harveer Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner had filed this petition with the following
prayer:-

“In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is most humbly request that the present petition may kindly be allowed and direction may kindly be issued to respondents No.1 & 2 to look into the matter related to Annexures P-1 to P-5 and the petitioner be protected from the illegal harassment on the pretext of different inquiries for same cause of action, which is not sustainable in the eyes of law. Further, the life and liberty of the petitioner be protected from the hands of respondent Nos.5 & 6 who are after the petitioner to implicate in any false criminal case or to eliminate him, in the interest of justice.

And/or

To pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper as per facts and circumstances of the present case.

It is further prayed that petitioner may kindly be exempted

from filing the certified copies of Annexures P-1 to P-7 and be permitted to place on record the true typed/translated/ photocopies/extract copies of the same, in the interest of justice.”

The grievance of the petitioner in the present case is that in spite of the fact that two enquiries have been held in similar complaint filed by respondents No.5 and 6, a fresh enquiry has been instituted.

Status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Payal has been filed in which it has been stated that two enquiries were held against the petitioner. One by Superintendent of Police, Head Quarter, Khanna and second by Station House Officer, Payal. Both the reports i.e. Annexures R-1 and R-2 dated 19.10.2016 and 15.06.2017 against the petitioner. No material was found against the petitioner. It has further been stated that no application is pending against the petitioner nor he is required in any other case.

In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of.

19.07.2019

D.Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No