

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 2793 of 2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 23.9.2019

Sakattar SinghAppellant

VERSUS

Gopal SinghRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the appellant.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present appeal has been directed against concurrent findings recorded by the Courts whereby suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant/respondent from interfering and dispossessing the appellant from land measuring 8 kanal comprising khasra No.10//3 (8-0), detailed in headnote of the plaint was dismissed by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 17.11.2018 that came to be affirmed in appeal by the District Judge, Tarn Taran.

Perusal of the judgments passed by the Courts would reveal that respondent/defendant set up sale deed purported to be executed by the appellant/plaintiff marked as Ex.D1. The Appellate Court has held that the plaintiff/appellant is guilty of concealing the factum of delivery of possession under the sale deed, in favour of the respondent.

Counsel for the appellant has failed to advance any meaningful argument to successfully assail concurrent findings recorded by the Courts much less to say that the same suffer from perversity or raise

a question of law that needs determination in second appeal. In this view of the matter, I do not find any reason to interfere in the judgments impugned.

For the foregoing reasons, finding no merit, the appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed in limine.

SEPTEMBER 23, 2019		(REKHA MITTAL)
‘D. Gulati’		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no