

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP- 1016 of 2015
Date of decision: 16.10.2019**

Bikram Singh and another

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that though the respondents might have restored the four special increments, keeping in view the order passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.6540 of 2014 titled as **State of Punjab and others vs. Tarlok Chand and others** but pay of the petitioner No.1 has not been correctly fixed.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that prayer of the petitioners in the present writ petition is only for the restoration of two special increments, which has already been done and refixation is not under challenge.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition qua petitioner No.1 with liberty to challenge the refixation, which has been done by the respondents, wherein before grant of special increment the salary has been reduced.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

16.10.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |