

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2250 of 2019

DECIDED ON: AUGUST 22, 2019

SUKHVINDER SINGH MANN AND ORS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

KRISHAN KUMAR AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Mutneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab and
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of orders dated 06.02.2019 and 22.04.2019 passed in CWP No. 16021 of 2017 and CM No. 5683 of 2019 in CWP No. 15922 of 2017 respectively.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit of Sukhjeet Pal Singh, Director Public Instructions (SE), Punjab annexing the orders dated 02.08.2019 (which are 11 in nos.), copy handed over to the counsel for the petitioners. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Rajiv Puri, Sr. Assistant, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, states that the claim of the petitioners No. 8 to 10 and 15 has been accepted and the claim of petitioners No.1 to 7 and 11 to 14 has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners No. 1 to 7 and 11 to 14 has wrongly been rejected by the

respondents. He further submits that the merit list and list of candidates appointed, have not been supplied.

Learned State counsel further submits that the same would be provided to the counsel for the petitioners within two weeks.

In view of the reply filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

Needless to say that the petitioners No.1 to 7 and 11 to 14 would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law to challenge the orders dated 02.08.2019.

AUGUST 22, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>