

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.222

CRR No.2685 of 2017

DECIDED ON: November 29, 2019

GURNAM SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

HANS RAJ MEHTA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Gurinder Singh Thind, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 04 months and 05 days. He has also earned remission of 12 days and thus, the petitioner has undergone a sentence of 04 months and 17 days. The total sentence imposed by the trial Court and confirmed by the Appellate Court is 06 months. Under the circumstances, the sentence be reduced to the period already undergone. The respondent would be at liberty to recover the compensation amount through Court process.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that no arguments on merits have been raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and thus, the judgment of the courts below need to be upheld.

The conduct of the petitioner does not entitle him to reduction of his sentence to the period already undergone.

Keeping in view the fact that no argument on merits has been raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is liable to be dismissed. It is so ordered. The prayer for modification of sentence to the period already undergone is declined as the petitioner has not paid the compensation amount.

November 29, 2019
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No