

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-8839-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.04.2019**

Deepak Puri

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr. Surinder Avinash K.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S.Likhari, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.0037 dated 13.08.2017, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, at Police Station Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the complainant have submitted that the compromise has been effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of statement of petitioner Arshdeep Kaur, recorded on 26.03.2019, the copy of compromise deed and the order dated 26.03.2019, passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Panchkula vide which the petition under Section 25 of the Guardian & Wards Act, 1890 has been dismissed as

withdrawn, in the connected petition i.e. CRR-2494-2018.

Learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing is to be recovered from him and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 15.03.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

04.04.2019
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No