

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26030-2019

Date of Decision: 10.09.2019

Mangat Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dhruv Khanna, Advocate, for
Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the liquor and the motorcycle on which it was being carried (as per the case of investigating agency), having been recovered, with the petitioner having joined investigation pursuant to the order dated 03.06.2019, presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, the petition is disposed of, with the interim order passed by this Court on 03.06.2019 made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

It is to be noticed that the contention of learned counsel, on 03.06.2019, was that 100 bottles of liquor could not be carried on a motorcycle, with the Assistant Sub-Inspector present in court however, submitting that the recovery of the liquor was in plastic packets, with the amount of liquor recovered being equivalent to 100 bottles of 750 ml each.

No comment is made on either contention by this court, which may touch the merits of the case.

September 10, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No.