

(333) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 14.11.2019

1. CWP No.16142 of 2019

Pardeep Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

2. CWP No.16145 of 2019

Neeraj ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

3. CWP No.16177 of 2019

Kuldeep Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

4. CWP No.16180 of 2019

Ramesh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

5. CWP No.16200 of 2019

Sonu Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

6. CWP No.16251 of 2019

Vinod Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

7. CWP No.16252 of 2019

Rambhagat ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

8. CWP No.16262 of 2019

Sanjay ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

9. CWP No.16263 of 2019

Hardeep ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

10. CWP No.16264 of 2019

Anup Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

11. CWP No.16269 of 2019

Rajbir ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

12. CWP No.16277 of 2019

Amit Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

13. CWP No.16339 of 2019

Azad Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

14. CWP No.16358 of 2019

Manish Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

15. CWP No.16377 of 2019

Navin ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

16. CWP No.16458 of 2019

Vijender Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

17. CWP No.16460 of 2019

Parmila ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

18. CWP No.16461 of 2019

Vinod Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

19. CWP No.16485 of 2019

Vikram ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

20. CWP No.16487 of 2019

Joginder ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

21. CWP No.16488 of 2019

Suresh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

22. CWP No.16493 of 2019

Sunil Siwach ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

23. CWP No.16503 of 2019

Suresh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

24. CWP No.16507 of 2019

Balwinder Kumar	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

25. CWP No.16509 of 2019

Dilbag Singh	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

26. CWP No.16514 of 2019

Amar Siwach	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

27. CWP No.16519 of 2019

Ankit	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

28. CWP No.16528 of 2019

Anil	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

29. CWP No.16552 of 2019

Parmod Kumar	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and Ors.	... Respondents

30. CWP No.16634 of 2019

Shamsher Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

31. CWP No.16635 of 2019

Kuldeep Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

32. CWP No.25639 of 2015

Krishan Kumar & anr. ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4.
Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate with Ms. Gurpreet Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.3/NPCIL.
Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for HPGCL.
Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Alisha Arora, Advocate for Union of India.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] This order shall decide CWP Nos.16142, 16145, 16177, 16180, 16200, 16251, 16252, 16262, 16263, 16264, 16269, 16277, 16339, 16358, 16377, 16458, 16460, 16461, 16485, 16487, 16488, 16493, 16503, 16507,

16509, 16514, 16519, 16528, 16552, 16634, 16635 of 2019 and 25639 of 2015 as the point in issue involved is identical.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the land of the petitioners was acquired for setting up of 2800 Mega Watt Gorakhpur Atomic Power Project by the Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited in village Gorakhpur and the Govt. of Haryana, Revenue and Disaster Management Department notified policy dated 9.11.2010 for rehabilitation and Resettlement of land owners/land acquisition oustees by providing job to persons whose land had been acquired more than 75% in the revenue estate subject to minimum of two acres and condition No.(iv) of para 11 of the aforementioned policy provides for grant of a job in the project for which the acquired land is utilized and in case of non-availability of adequate number of suitable jobs in the project, the claim is to be considered against vacancies available in said department failing which the claim is to be considered in other departments.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have filed representation Annexure P-7 dated 01.12.2017 in CWP Nos.16142, 16145, 16177, 16180, 16200, 16251, 16252, 16262, 16263, 16264, 16269, 16277, 16339, 16358, 16377, 16458, 16460, 16461, 16485, 16487, 16488, 16493, 16503, 16507, 16509, 16514, 16519, 16528, 16552, 16634, 16635 of 2019 and dated 1.10.2015 in CWP No.25639 of 2015 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same have not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if at this stage, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representations in accordance with the rules/regulations/policy applicable after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned as expeditiously as possible.

[4] Learned counsel for the respondents state that they have no objection to the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, CWP Nos.16142, 16145, 16177, 16180, 16200, 16251, 16252, 16262, 16263, 16264, 16269, 16277, 16339, 16358, 16377, 16458, 16460, 16461, 16485, 16487, 16488, 16493, 16503, 16507, 16509, 16514, 16519, 16528, 16552, 16634, 16635 of 2019 and 25639 of 2015 are disposed of by directing respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the representations as referred to above by passing a speaking order in accordance with law after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

14.11.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.