

Sr. No. 224
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.12996 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.02.2019

R.K.Verma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 6 and 8-University.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 6 and 8 states that during pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner was compulsorily retired and the said order has been challenged by way of a writ petition bearing CWP No.5013 of 2018. He states that the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Even otherwise, order sheet of the paper book reflects that the petitioner has not been appearing for the past two hearings. Today also none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents-University, writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

04.02.2019

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No