

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16491 of 2019
Date of Decision: 01.07.2019

Smt. Aruna Vij and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Employees Provident Fund Organization and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners are entitled for the release of their pension keeping in view the fact that respondent No.4 i.e. their employer had deposited the provident fund on their actual salary, which was higher than the maximum ceiling of Rs. 6500/- per month, in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 10013-10014 of 2016, decided on 04.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served upon the respondents with a legal notice dated 06.03.2019 (Annexure P-12 Colly) and thereafter, a reminder on 03.04.2019 and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate

speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioners in respect of the relief claimed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 06.03.2019 (Annexure P-2 Colly) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

July 01, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*