

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16152-2019

Date of decision: 12.12.2019

NIRMALA DEVI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the recovery which is being effected from the petitioner after refixing salary of her husband.

At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents states that no recovery is being effected from the petitioner after refixation of the salary. Keeping in view the said statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the grievance with regard to the recovery being done from the petitioner, stands redressed and no order is required to be passed in that regard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner will file an appropriate petition in case, she is aggrieved against the refixation of her salary.

Keeping in view the above, no further grievance, as raised in the present writ petition survives and the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

12.12.2019
renubala

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No