

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26182-2019
Decided on : 03.06.2019

Harjinder Singh & anr.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. V.S.Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for conducting an expeditious, impartial and independent investigation in the representations dated 13.11.2018, 30.11.2018, 09.12.2018 and 23.04.2019 (Annexures P-6 to P-9).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are bonafide purchaser of land measuring 25 kanal 6 marlas situated at Village Varpal Tehsil and District Amritsar vide sale deed dated 15.06.2004 (Annexure P-1) regarding which rectification deed was executed on 03.04.2008 (Annexure P-2) and the agreement/takseem khangi family settlement dated 16.10.2000. It is also submitted that the petitioners are in occupation and possession of the said land since 2004. Learned counsel further contends that not only a civil suit filed by respondent No.6 and his deceased brother namely Hardeep Singh pertaining to the land in question was dismissed vide order dated 05.08.2008 (Annexure P-3) but also the appeal against the judgment and decree was dismissed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.), Amritsar vide order dated 26.03.2011. Thereafter,

the petitioners obtained an injunction order from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Amritsar vide order dated 07.12.2017 restraining respondent No.6 and his deceased brother Hardeep Singh from dispossessing and interfering in the peaceful possession of the petitioners over the land in dispute. It is submitted that the official machinery is turning a blind eye to all the representations, which have been annexed as Annexures P-6 to P-9 made by the petitioners wherein they have time and again been seeking protection and help of the authorities against the high handedness of the private respondents, who have been openly threatening and attacking them with the help of goons so as to pressurize them to vacate the land in dispute. As per learned counsel, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed off with a direction to the official respondents to consider their representations in accordance with law.

3. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.4- Sr. Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) to look into the representation dated 23.04.2019 (Annexure P-9) and decide the same by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

4. The present petition stands disposed off.

03.06.2019
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No