

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25666 of 2019

Date of decision: September 13, 2019

Rupali Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.151 dated 29.04.2019, under Section 82 of the Registration Act, 1908, registered at Police Station City Barnala.

On 31.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that on earlier occasion also, FIR No. 0172 dated 22.06.2017, under Sections 420, 120-B, 467, 471 and 468, IPC was registered at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala, but the same was quashed by this Court, vide order dated 24.09.2018 (P-3) and again on the basis of same very allegations, the present FIR has been registered.

Notice of motion for 02.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till the next date of hearing in the present case on her

furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 31.05.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 31.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 13, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No