

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 8306 of 2018
Date of decision : 13.03.2019**

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. R. S. Mamli, Advocate for the complainant.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation. As per the allegations in the FIR, one injury is on the little finger and the second injury on the head which is simple abrasion. Even as per MLR, fresh blood has been shown to be present, whereas there cannot be fresh blood after six hours.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Jagtar Singh, has affirmed the factum of joining investigation by the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed grant of bail.

In a case of version and cross version, it is to be seen as which of the party is more aggressor and it can be seen during course of trial.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 27.02.2018 passed by this Court in his favour is made absolute.

Disposed of.

13.03.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No