

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 01.07.2019

CWP No.16623 of 2019

Umang Paul & others

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Kanishk Lakhanpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

There is a substantive defect in the petition where cognizance has not been taken of the final decision on the representations (at pp.114 of the paper-book) in which Hon'ble the Chief Justice has indicated his decision "As at 'A'". This has neither been mentioned in the body of the petition nor the case built on this premise. It would, therefore, be a waste of time to proceed on the half-baked pleadings in which the petitioners have not even adverted to the final decision rejecting their representation. Thus, Mr. Lakhanpal prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause/s of action.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

01.07.2019
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No