

236

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2067-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-11.01.2019

Rakesh Narang

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. R.S.Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nishchal Mann, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The parties have arrived at a compromise and complainant has received the settled amount. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the complainant and he has no objection to the compounding of the offence.

In compliance of the order dated 18.05.2018, learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that he has already deposited remaining cheque amount and produced the demand draft dated 11.01.2019 amounting to Rs.1,25,000/-. Copy of the same is taken on record.

Considering the compromise effected between the parties, the payments made and the penalty deposited, the compounding of the offence is accepted and the judgment of conviction dated 07.05.2016 and order of sentence dated 09.05.2016 passed by the trial Court and the judgment dated 20.05.2017 passed by the first Appellate Court, are set aside and the

complaint is rendered inconsequential.

Disposed off.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

11.01.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No