

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3869 of 2019

Date of Decision : 01.07.2019

Pawan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Tamanna

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

This Revision Petition is directed against the impugned Order dated 18th April, 2019 passed by the Ld. Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohtak.

2. The Ld. Court below was pleased to grant alimony *pendente lite* @ Rs.5,000/- per month in favour of the Respondent/Wife in allowing her Application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, which was filed by her seeking such maintenance and litigation expenses, in view of the Petition seeking dissolution of the marriage between the parties, filed at the instance of the present Petitioner/Husband. It was made out therein that the Petitioner is a (Taxi) Driver by Profession and also had agricultural land apart from Plot of 380 Sq. Yards, from which had a composite income of

Rs.50,000/- per month, while the Applicant/Wife claimed to have no income of her own.

3. The Petitioner in his reply denied the Respondent's allegations and made out that he had no income whatsoever although he admitted having done the work of a Driver in the past.

4. In the opinion of this Court, the Petitioner, who is able bodied man, in any case is obligated to maintain his Wife. An amount of Rs.5,000/- only has been awarded against him apart from litigation costs of Rs.11,000/- to defend the Divorce Petition filed against the Respondent.

5. These amounts by no stretch of imagination can be considered excessive or exorbitant in the present times.

6. No merits.

7. Dismissed.

July 01, 2019

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No