

CRM-M-25651-2019

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25651-2019
Date of decision-05.11.2019**

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. J.S.Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.56 dated 29.04.2019 under Sections 420 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka, Punjab. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 31.05.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that prior to registration of the case, previously, a complaint bearing No.936-SPL-PC dated 27.2.2017 was given by the complainant to the police, wherein, the petitioner Ravinder Kumar was not named. Further relies upon the order dated 16.5.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by this Court in CRM-M-22404-2019, whereby concession of interim anticipatory bail is given to coaccused Kulwant

CRM-M-25651-2019

Ram.

Notice of motion for 05.11.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Sukhdev Raj does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Raj further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 31.05.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

05.11.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No