

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26135-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.11.2019**

Amrit Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- None for the petitioner
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Case has been called out twice. Counsel for the petitioner is not present.

Quashing of criminal prosecution has been sought on the basis of settlement. In spite of service, respondent No.2-first informant did not put in appearance. Counsel for the petitioner undertook to bring respondent No.2.

Since, even the counsel for the petitioner is not present. Therefore, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present petition.

Hence, dismissed for want of prosecution.

05.11. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No