

Sr. No.116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16131 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.07.2019**

Jagjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioners as qualifying service for the purpose of seniority.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is identical to the case of Constable Rajinder Kumar who has been allowed necessary relief in terms of Annexure P-2. Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide claim of the petitioners in the light of Annexure P-2 passed in case of Constable Rajinder Kumar.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide claim of the petitioners in the light of Annexure P-2 passed in case of Constable Rajinder Kumar within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of in above terms.

03.07.2019

vandana

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable****Yes/No
Yes/No**