

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1639-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.04.2019

Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has filed this revision petition against the order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula directing that the petitioner shall be released on regular bail subject to deposit of ₹4,00,000/- by way of bank draft in the name of the trial Court.

On 01.06.2017, this Court after noticing the contention passed the following order:-

“It is contended that the complainant is worker of one Akash Bhalla who is operating as a financier. The petitioner had been running a hotel/lodge by the name of Royal City in Manimajra, Chandigarh and used to avail loan from the said Akash Bhalla on interest. As per the record maintained by the petitioner, he has repaid the entire amount allegedly obtained from said Akash Bhalla. The learned counsel fairly submits that he is still open to reconciliation of the account

books. The complainant at the behest of his employer has forcibly taken away the vehicle bearing registration No.CH01-BG-9074, make Volkswagen owned by the petitioner. Certain documents like affidavits and blank cheques are also stated to be in possession of the complainant and his employer.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant states that the car in question was purchased from the funds secured from the complainant by the petitioner.

As the matter pertains to primarily settlement of accounts, the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court on 05.07.2017.

Meanwhile, the operation and effect of order dated 28.04.2017, to the extent of deposit of Rs.4 lakh shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.”

Thereafter, the case has been adjourned on various occasion.

Even State Counsel is not in a position to assist the Court as no one from the concerned police station has come to assist him.

Keeping in view the facts, the order dated 01.06.2017 is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

11.04.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**