

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(208)

CWP-12178-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 10, 2019

Lajpat Rai Garg

.. Petitioner

Versus

**Haryana Backward Classes and Economically Weaker Sections Kalyan
Nigam, Chandigarh and another**

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Chander, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the only ground for the denial of the benefit of promotion to the petitioner is adverse remarks entered in the ACR for the year 2001-02, which has been recorded as integrity doubtful.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the representation which the petitioner had submitted to the competent authority, has been rejected vide Annexure P-18 as time barred. The said order is not under challenge. The order which is not under challenge, cannot be taken into consideration by this Court and no order can be passed setting-aside the same.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to challenge the order by which the adverse remarks were recorded against the petitioner as well as the order passed on the representation, rejecting the said representation being time barred by filing an appropriate representation.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

CM-1480-CWP-2015

In view of the above orders the instant application has become
infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

September 10, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No