

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(1) CRM M-7736 of 2018
Date of Decision: November 13, 2019**

Balwinder Kaur and another		Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab		 Respondent

(2) CRM M-11786 of 2018

Sukhwinder Singh		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab and another		 Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the complainant with Ms. Sumandeep Kaur,
complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Report dated 20.08.2019 has been received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court to the effect that an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties vide settlement deed dated 20.08.2019.

The above detailed two anticipatory bail applications

moved under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 01 dated 23.01.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A, 313 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate, District Amritsar, one bearing No. CRM M-7736 of 2018 filed by Balwinder Kaur and Gurmit Singh and the other bearing No. CRM M-11786 of 2018 filed by Sukhwinder Singh have arisen in the same FIR and are being taken up and disposed of together.

The brief allegations that have come about are that marriage between the parties was solemnized on 19.02.2016, wherein, sufficient dowry articles including cash and costly items including gold were given. However, the accused side was not happy with the same and demanded a car, on account of which, matrimonial dispute arose between the parties leading to the registration of the present case.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that parties have settled their dispute and has placed reliance on the record report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court dated 20.08.2019 and in the light of settlement arrived at between the parties has handed over to complainant Sumandeep Kaur two demand drafts bearing No.722135 and 567701 amounting to Rs.3,00,000/- and Rs.2,00,00/-, respectively, drawn in favour of the complainant. Photostat

copies of the same have been retained with the file.

On instructions from SI Manjit Singh learned State counsel with all fairness has not disputed the facts but duly concedes that the parties have effected a settlement.

In the light of what has come before this Court, the parties have settled their dispute and the complainant made a statement before this Court that she has no objection, if the petitions are allowed subject to fulfilment to the terms and conditions of the settlement/agreement dated 20.08.2019. Therefore, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for to do so and they shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, will be released on regular bail upon presentation of challan.

Disposed of.

November 13, 2019

amit rana

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No