

250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2242 of 2019
Date of Decision: 28.08.2019**

The Ghor Mohalla Co-op L&C Society Ltd., Ferozepur

Petitioner

Versus

Sarbjeet Singh, Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of
Water Resources Management and Development Corporation and
others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading
wilful disobedience of order dated 30.01.2019 passed by this Court
in CWP No.2565 of 2019.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

*“Without even ascertaining the correctness
of the averments made in the petition, I deem it
appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition
with a direction to respondent Nos.3 and 4 to*

examine the claim of the petitioner/Society and to take a final decision on the legal notice dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-6) expeditiously and in any case within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this Court.

Needless to mention that if any payment is found due to the petitioner, the same would be released without any further delay.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Gursagar Singh Chahal, Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.6, Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation Ltd., Rampura Phul is filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Mr. Gursagar Singh Chahal, who is present in the Court, states that substantial payment has been made and balance payment of ₹8,66,677/- and ₹6,68,341/- shall be made within six weeks from today.

In view of statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

In case the statement made today in Court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. If the petitioner is forced to do so, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting officers/officials personally.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

August 28, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |