

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2228 of 2019

DECIDED ON: AUGUST 22, 2019

JAGTAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SARBJEET SINGH & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Singh Sethi, Advocate and
Ms. Harsharanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.3

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J(ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 30.01.2019 passed in CWP No. 2557 of 2019.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“ Without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. the Divisional Engineer, Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation, Linning Division No.6, Near Saint Xavier School Phull Bye Pass Road, Rampura, Bathinda to examine the claim of the petitioner and to take a final decision on the legal notice dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously and in any case within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this Court.

Needless to mention that if any payment is found due to the petitioner, the same would be released without any further delay.”

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that pursuant to the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 30.01.2019 in CWP No. 2557 of 2019, the decision has been taken which would be conveyed to the petitioner within one week from today. He further submits that the substantial payment has been made and only an amount of ₹90,000/- is remained to be paid, the same shall also be paid to the petitioner at the earliest.

In view of afore-said statement made by respondent No.3, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

In case the afore-said statement is not adhered to, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the present contempt petition and if the petitioner is forced to do so, costs may be imposed, which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official/officer.

AUGUST 22, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>