

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.25865 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:-September 27, 2019

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Kewal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL J. (Oral)

On 31.05.2019, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is recorded as owner in the revenue record and he has sought to be falsely implicated because he wanted to construct the building after sanction of the building plan.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab. A copy of the paper book be handed over to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Adjourned to 02.08.2019.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Resham Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, co-operated and not required for further custodial interrogation at this stage.

Hence, the order dated 31.05.2019 is made absolute.

September 27, 2019

sarita

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes

No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE