

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Revision No.65 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: November 18, 2019.

Madan Mohan Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

Anju Lata and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Ankush Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

**Anil Kshetarpal, J.** (Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, dismissing the complaint filed on the ground that the petitioner has failed to prove the charges against the accused beyond shadow of reasonable doubt. The judgment passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate was affirmed by the Court of Sessions on re-appreciation of evidence. It has been found by the learned Judicial Magistrate that although the petitioner claims that he was doing his duty in the bank, however, the day on which occurrence had taken place was a Sunday. No evidence has been produced by the prosecution that how the petitioner was in the bank on Sunday. Further, the Court has found that the evidence of the complainant as also of Sharda Rani is contradictory. Still further, there is no medical evidence to corroborate the oral evidence.

In view thereof, there is no ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

**November 18, 2019**

*mohinder*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**

**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

:

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable**

:

**Yes/No**