

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

217

**CRR No.126 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.05.2019**

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that Ram Sarup has already been summoned as additional accused and fresh charges have been framed qua all the accused. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the State.

In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the fresh charge-sheet, if so advised.

29.05.2019

D.Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No