

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

258(2)

CRM-M-25753-2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2019

PARDEEP KUMAR @ KALU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Perdhuman Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.48, dated 02.02.2019, under Sections 406, 407, 420, 411, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is mentioned that the accused had taken away the truck loaded with plywood. The petitioner is 24 years old and is not involved in any other case. He is in custody for over 6 months. Recovery of ₹4000/- is alleged to have been effected from the petitioner.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh states that although the charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined as yet.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 6 months, he is not involved in any other case and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2019

rakesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No