

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18748 of 2010
Date of Decision : 10.01.2019

Smt. Gulab Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Anupam Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Bedi, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, prayer made by the petitioner is for the grant of family pension w.e.f. 19.11.1992 i.e. when husband of the petitioner, Sh. Mihaan Singh died. The petitioner is also claiming the arrears of family pension from the said date alongwith interest.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2, on 26.10.2018, stated that case for allowing the family pension to the petitioner has already been sent to the Accountant General, Punjab for the necessary approval. He sought time so that the appropriate order could be passed by the Accountant General, Punjab approving the said proposal of the grant of family pension to the petitioner.

Today, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 states that despite the fact that the complete proposal for the grant of family pension in favour

of the petitioner had already been sent, there is no communication received from the Accountant General, Punjab either accepting or rejecting the case.

Keeping in view the above position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is given to the Accountant General, Punjab for finalizing the proposal sent by the Punjab State Tubewell Corporation for the grant of family pension to the petitioner.

In view of the request made, respondent No. 3-Accountant General, Punjab is directed to pass appropriate orders as per law, in respect of the proposal sent by respondent No. 2 for the grant of family pension to the petitioner. Let appropriate order be passed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

In case after passing of the appropriate order, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to her within a period of next one month.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

January 10, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*