

**Sr. No. 213**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA**  
**AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11734 of 2013 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 07.03.2019**

Satwinder Pal Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

Present:- Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for  
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Sood, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Ithlesh, Advocate for  
Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate,  
for respondent No.4.

**ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)**

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 states that in view of petitioners No.1 and 3 having been promoted during pendency of the writ petition and petitioner No.2 having since retired, present writ petition is rendered infructuous.

The said statement of learned counsel for respondent No.4 is not controverted by learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view thereof, the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(ARUN MONGA)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.03.2019**

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No