

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2150 of 2019

Date of Decision: 29.08.2019

Amandeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Krishan Kumar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. H. C. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 6.2.2019 passed in COCP No. 2287 of 2016.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"Learned State counsel on instructions from Shashi, Law Officer, office of DPI (SE) Punjab, submits that the Secretary Education, Punjab, would consider the matter in light of orders dated October 30th, 2018 and September 13, 2018 (passed in connected case i.e. CWP-15922-2017) within six weeks and take necessary steps. In case, any adverse decision is taken, same shall be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. The information received by the petitioner under the Right to Information Act has been handed over to learned State counsel. He submits that the same shall also be looked into.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with the statement made by the State counsel.

Petition is, thus, disposed of."

Reply filed by way of affidavit of Mr. Sukhjeet Pal Singh, Director

Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab. Copy handed over to counsel

for the petitioner. Along with reply, order dated 2.8.2019 has been annexed,

whereby the claim of petitioners No.2, 4 and 7 has been accepted and petitioners No.1,3,5 and 6 has been rejected.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the claim of petitioners No. 1,3,5 and 6 has wrongly been rejected by the respondents. He further submits that the merit list, list of candidates appointed and criteria adopted have not been supplied.

Learned State counsel further submits that the same would be provided to the counsel for the petitioners within two weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the reply filed and order passed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law so far as the claim of petitioners No 1,3,5 and 6 has been rejected.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29.08.2019
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No