

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(115)

CWP-15258-2019

Date of Decision: July 25, 2019

Dr. Rajinder Singh Pandher

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that keeping in view the instructions dated 14.03.2017, the cases of the group A and B employees were to be dealt with at the level of Administrative Department and only in case of group C and D employees, the case for the grant of benefit under the instructions dated 14.03.2017 was to be given by the Head of Department. Counsel for the petitioner argues that the case of the petitioner has been rejected by the Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Punjab whereas being a group A employee, his case was to be decided by the Administrative Department meaning thereby the Secretary of the Administrative Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that even the representation of the petitioner which is being decided by the respondents was addressed to the Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture Department but the same has wrongly been decided by the Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Punjab though he is not competent under the instructions dated 14.03.2017. Counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has a remedy to approach the Administrative Secretary raising his

grievance qua the said fact that non-competent authority has considered and rejected his case by filing appropriate representation.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Administrative Secretary of the Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Punjab raising the grievance in respect of the impugned order dated 31.07.2018.

In case, any such representation is preferred, the same shall be decided in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receiving of certified copy of this order.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

July 25, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No