

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15337-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:30.05.2019**

Raj Mahi @ Rahul

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Bhupinde Banga, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for directing the respondent/ authorities to reissue/renew the passport of the petitioner.

Pleadings contained in the writ petition would indicate that the petitioner's passport was impounded on 26.02.2019. Counsel would however contend that such action of impounding of the passport has been taken even without passing any specific order.

Be that as it may, petitioner would have a statutory remedy of appeal under Section 11 of the Passports Act against impounding of a passport.

In view of the above, while declining to interfere in the instant writ petition, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of his statutory remedy and to raise all contentions/grounds in accordance with law including the assertion that no specific order as regards impounding of the passport has been communicated to him.

Disposed of.

30.05.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No