

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1138-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.02.2019

Tejinder Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by Ms. Kuldeep Kaur, Sr. Assistant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

Prayer in the instant petition, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioners for appointment to the post of Assistant Treasurer/Assistant Cashier in the Department of Treasury and Accounts, Punjab.

Heard.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that in the interregnum period, the petitioners have been offered appointment and in pursuance thereof, petitioner No.1 has joined the services. Further submits that petitioner Nos.2 and 3 have not joined despite the fact that three months' time was given for the purpose and the offer so made, stands withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the

statement so made by learned State counsel, however, submits that petitioner No.1 deserves to be allowed seniority and other consequential benefits as per the dictum of law laid down by this Court in ***Manjit Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, 1996(3) S.C.T. 661.***

In view of the fact that the main relief has been allowed to petitioner No.1, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction that petitioner No.1, if so advised, may make a representation before the competent authority for seniority and other consequential benefits, which shall take a decision thereupon expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months therefrom as per law and the judgment passed in ***Manjit Kaur's case (supra)***. Qua petitioner Nos.2 and 3, the instant petition is dismissed.

Disposed of.

05.02.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No