

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP No.1444 of 2012

Date of decision: 28.08.2019

Jarnail Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Market Committee and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms.G.K. Dulat, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr.P.K. Goklaney, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 5.

Arun Monga J. (Oral)

None appears for the petitioner.

Mr.P.K.Goklaney, Advocate appears for the private respondents whose promotion is under challenge by the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has retired during the pendency of the writ petition even he succeeds, he will only get notional benefits.

In view of the above, I am of the view that the relief sought by the petitioner might have rendered infructuous by sheer efflux of time. This writ petition is accordingly, disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application if any cause of action still survives.

[ARUN MONGA]
JUDGE

28.08.2019

sd

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No