

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(104)

CWP-16161-2019

Date of Decision: July 01, 2019

Shanti Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The claim being raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the petitioner was senior to one Sh. Raj Kumar and was relieved from service after attaining the age of 60 years on 30.06.2017 and the respondents passed an order on 26.04.2018 by which, service of Sh. Raj Kumar has been regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011 whereas the services of the petitioner were never regularized so as to become entitled for the service benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that once an employee junior to the petitioner has been regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011 and on the said date, the petitioner was in service, she is also entitled for the same relief i.e. regularization of her service w.e.f. 01.04.2011.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No