

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25476 of 2019

Date of decision: 25.07.2019

Labh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.18 dated 26.01.2019 registered under Sections 364, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 325 and 326 IPC added later) at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

The 1st petition seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn on 13.05.2019 on the ground that after the interim protection was given to the petitioner, he was declared as proclaimed offender in another FIR No.105 dated 11.06.2013 registered under Sections 324, 323, 341, 148, 149, 379 IPC. However, the said order was set-aside by this Court vide order dated 21.05.2019 passed in CRM-M No.23228 of 2019. Thereafter, noticing the aforesaid facts, notice was issued and the petitioner was directed to join the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ranjit

Singh, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation but he has failed to recover the weapon of offence.

Counsel for the complainant has also opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the injuries sustained by the complainant are grievous in nature and he has suffered multiple fractures/injuries on his both legs, arms and injury on eye. It is further argued that even the other accused were arrested and they were granted the concession of regular bail as their anticipatory bail application was also dismissed as withdrawn, before this Court.

Considering the aforesaid submissions made by counsel for the State as well as counsel for the complainant and in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner and the objection raised by counsel for the State that the petitioner is not co-operating in the investigation, I find no ground to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in this second petition.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

25.07.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No