

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25289 of 2019

Date of decision: July 19, 2019

Jahid

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.54 dated 24.03.2018, under Section 13 (2) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and Section 11 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960, registered at Police Station Bicchor, District Nuh.

As per prosecution case, petitioner was doing the business of selling cow meat and skin after slaughtering.

On 30.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that although petitioner was granted the benefit of interim bail by learned Sessions Judge vide order dated 03.04.2019 (P-2), but due to unavoidable circumstances, he could not comply with the above order, however, ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 19.07.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Kamal Singh, has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 30.05.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 30.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

July 19, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**