

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15722-2019

Date of decision-31.05.2019

Mohan Shyam

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to take action against Sarpanch, Village Secretary and JE concerned, as per report dated 24.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) submitted before grievance committee by SDM(C) Firojpur Jhirka and to decide the representations dated 23.01.2019 (Annexure P-2) and 21.03.2019 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Mewat to consider and decide the representation dated 21.03.2019 (Annexure P-3), expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Mewat to consider and decide the representation dated 21.03.2019 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the prayer made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the prayer made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

31.05.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No