

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3685 of 2019

Date of Decision: 31.05.2019

Akhtari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ranveer and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Relies upon the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“H.S. Ahammed Hussain Vs. Irphan Ahammed”, 2002(3) RCR(Civil) 563**, which was followed by this Court in **“Indra Devi Vs. Dharam Singh and others”, 2006(4) RCR(Civil) 762**, to contend that no restriction can be placed on the rights of an adult to claim the compensation amount deposited in his or her name.

2. Following the aforesaid decision, this Court finds no conceivable reason to deny the prayer of the petitioner, who is a woman, since it is a matter of record that compensation in the present case was allowed only in favour of the present petitioner and her husband Noor

Mohammand, both of whom are admittedly adults, being in the range of 50-55 years of age, and compensation qua the claimant No.3, who was a minor girl, had already been denied.

3. The present revisional petition is, therefore, allowed after setting aside the impugned order. The amount admissible to the present petitioner is directed to be released immediately.

31.05.2019

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No