

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

264

CRM-M-6309 of 2018 (O&M)

Decided on : 12.09.2019

Kulwinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Karan Jindal, Advocate for
Mr.J.P.Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.SPS Tinna, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the
operative part of the reply dated 17.5.2018 which is extracted as under :-

“The SIT has reported that it has been revealed from the perusal of the previous Reports of the then DSP Attari & the then SP Headquarters, Amritsar (Rural) that the DSP Attari & SP Headquarters, Amritsar (Rural) had not probed the instant matter deeply. The DSP Attari & SP Headquarters, Amritsar (Rural) had not applied their mind, while probing the instant matter. Now, the SIT has minutely probed the instant matter and has brought the true facts on the record. The SSP Amritsar (Rural) has recommended that appropriate disciplinary action shall be initiated against Sh. Gurbinder Singh, PPS, the then DSP Attari & Sh.Jagatpreet Singh, PPS, the then SP Headquarters, Amritsar (Rural). Therefore, keeping in view the findings of the enquiry conducted by the SIT, the SSP Amritsar (Rural) has set aside the previous enquiries conducted by the then DSP Attari & the then SP Headquarters, Amritsar (Rural) and he has approved the Enquiry Report submitted by the SIT. The deponent

has perused the case file and enquiry reports and agrees with the report of the SSP Amritsar (Rural).

The grievance of the petitioner has come to end. Therefore, the present petition be disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

[ANIL KSHETARPAL]
JUDGE

12.09.2019
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No