

139

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.4342 of 2019
Date of Decision: 20.12.2019**

Jaswinder Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chandra and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Petitioner filed CRM-M No.4335 of 2019 (hereinafter referred to as 'criminal petition') raising grievance that private respondents (in the criminal petition) are not permitting him to cultivate his land and are threatening of dire consequences. It was further averred that representation dated 19.11.2018 was submitted but no action was taken. The petition was disposed of on 30.01.2019 directing the respondent No.2 (in the criminal petition) to take appropriate action, upon the representation if found necessary. The needful was to be done within eight weeks from receipt of certified copy of the order.

The contempt petition is filed pleading there is wilful disobedience of order dated 30.01.2019 of this Court.

While arguing the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner candidly submits that status report dated 09.05.2019 with regard to dispute between the petitioner and private respondents was submitted in the Court, during the proceedings relating to release of weapons of the petitioner on *sapurdari*.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing the matter at length, realizing that directions of this Court were only to respondent No.2 (in the criminal petition) whereas in the contempt petition, seven respondents have been impleaded including private respondents, seeks permission to withdraw the contempt petition with liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars and by impleading the necessary parties only.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

An advance copy was served upon the State of Punjab. Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab is present in the Court, at this stage, the State is directed to ensure that directions dated 30.01.2019 of this Court are complied with, if not already.

It is further desired that order with regard to decision on the representation of the petitioner be communicated to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

December 20, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No