

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14801-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-29.05.2019**

Jagdish Raghav

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of Mandamus directing official respondents to take legal action against respondent No.4 for illegally changing nature of pond (Johar) and further to issue necessary directions to official respondents to restore and maintain pond to its original position and to decide legal representation-cum-notice dated 26.10.2018 [(Annexure P-13 (Colly))].

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-The Principal Secretary, to Government of Haryana, Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 26.10.2018 [(Annexure P-13 (Colly))] expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Principal Secretary, to Government of Haryana, Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 26.10.2018 [(Annexure P-13 (Colly))] in accordance with law within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the prayer made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the prayer made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

29.05.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No