

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2089 of 2019
Date of decision: 3.10.2019

Mangat Ram

.. Petitioner

v.

Tarun Kumar

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Vir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 27.7.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 17488 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In the light of aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3-Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Bhucho Mandi, Bathinda to consider the claim of the petitioner set up by him in representation (P-5) and reminder (P-6), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, in case, any adverse order is passed by the concerned authority, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for the respondent has produced copy of order dated 5.11.2018, copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the order, the claim of the petitioner was decided and a sum of ₹53,351/- was paid.

The aforesaid fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner. The grievance is that the amount has not been properly calculated.

The details of amount found due have been mentioned in the order dated 5.11.2018.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. In case there is any grievance with regard to calculation, the petitioner may avail remedies available in accordance with law.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

3.10.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No