

**248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5907-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:15.01.2019

Sandeep KumarPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking direction to the respondents No.1 to 3 to provide police protection to the petitioner alongwith his family members at the hands of private respondents in case FIR No.347 dated 06.05.2016 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 384, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines Karnal.

Notice of motion was issued and the Superintendent of Police, Karnal was directed to file his personal affidavit in reply to the petition.

The affidavit of Surinder Singh Bhorla, HPS, Superintendent of Police, Karnal filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 shows that the SP, Karnal has ordered that the PCR and the Rider Staff to be briefed and halting point be made near the house of the petitioner so that no untoward incident takes place. It has been further instructed to the staff that if anything wrong comes to the notice then necessary action be taken as per law immediately. Still further, Annexure R-2 attached with the affidavit, shows that a Constable has been provided to the petitioner temporarily to ensure the security and safety of the petitioner.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present petition any further.

Since the security concerns of the petitioner have already been taken care of, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, it is clarified that any direction of this Court would not be interpreted by the police as a mandate to the police to provide permanent security and staff to the petitioner. It would be upto the Superintendent of Police to assess the threat perception of the petitioner from time to time and to ensure safety of the petitioner as deem fit by him.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

15.01.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No